

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,428	03	3/31/2004	Jaswant Sandhu	8775-012	7624
20575	7590	11/03/2005		EXAM	MINER
		I & MCCOLI TREET, SUITI	ADAMS, G	ADAMS, GREGORY W	
PORTLAND, OR 97204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3652	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/815,428	SANDHU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory W. Adams	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	_				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A     2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-35 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-45 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2005 is/are  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,428

Art Unit: 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 36-39 & 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cameron et al. (WO 00/02803) (cited by applicant).

With respect to claim 36, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses a method for moving wafers comprising positioning robotic hand blades 24, 116, inserting a hand 108, 116 into a receptacle 141, grasping wafers 140 with blades 24, 116, withdrawing a hand 108, 116, positioning a hand 108, 116, inserting a hand 108, 116 into a second receptacle 141, and releasing wafers.

With respect to claim 37, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses a hand 24 which retrieves one wafer (or 2,3,4,5).

With respect to claim 38, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses a method for moving wafers further comprising sensing wafer presence 58.

With respect to claim 39, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses sensing wafer presence 58 and wafer position (page 4, Ins. 9-20) further comprises sensing wafer peripheral zone. Page 4, Ins. 9-20.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,428

Art Unit: 3652

With respect to claim 42, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses sensing wafer presence 58 and wafer position comprises optically sensing 58 a wafer peripheral zone. Page 4, Ins. 9-20.

With respect to claim 43, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses mechanically grasping wafers 140 comprises mechanically grasping each wafer peripheral zone. Page 4, Ins. 9-20.

With respect to claim 44, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses releasing wafers comprises arraying wafers in a second wafer receptacle.

With respect to claim 45, referring to FIGS. 1-7 Cameron et al. discloses a robotic hand which retains one wafer.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron et al. (WO 00/02803) (cited by applicant) as applied to claim 36 above, and further in view of Bacchi et al. (US 6,275,748). Cameron et al. discloses position and presence sensors but does not disclose detection wafer position or presence via wafer pad displacement, or optical wafer pad displacement. Referring to FIGS. 1-18 Bacchi et al. discloses sensing wafer presence (col. 2, Ins. 20-24) comprises detecting wafer

Art Unit: 3652

displacement of a wafer contact pad 222 comprises optically detecting 228, 230 (col. 12, lns. 51-60) displacement of a wafer contact pad 222 (col. 12, lns. 51-60) to reduce moving mechanisms within a robotic hand thereby reducing contamination within a wafer receptacle. Col. 2, lns. 20-50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the robotic hand blade of Cameron et al. to include sensing the displacement of a wafer pad by optically sensing pad displacement, as per the teachings of Bacchi et al., to reduce moving mechanisms within a robotic hand thereby reducing contamination within a wafer receptacle.

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed August 17, 2005 canceling claims 1-35 is acknowledged.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 36-39 & 42-44 Applicant argues that Cameron does not mechanically grasp. Applicant has not disclosed specific structure to define how Applicant's end effectors mechanically grasp. Construed broadly, Cameron discloses mechanical grasping encompassing more than vacuum, e.g. an end-effector, first arm, second end effector, robot body, wafer holders, and vacuum opening which grasp via drive motor and shaft 74, 76 and pivot mechanism 70.

With respect to claim 38 Applicant argues that Cameron does not disclose sensing wafers on end-effectors in a first wafer receptacle. With respect to Cameron page 6, Ins. 29-33 and page 7, line 13, Cameron discloses a sensor that reads vacuum pressure to determine whether a wafer located on an end effector(s). This information is then sent to a controller which computes both which end effector has a wafer and how many total wafers are being grasped.

With respect to claims 40-41 Applicant argues that Bacchi does not disclose gripping. With respects, gripping is "a tight hold; a firm grasp". www.dictionary.com. With respect to Bacchi page 2, Ins. 35-40, Bacchi's gripper working with rest pads applies a force to a wafer peripheral to grip a wafer between a contact pad 222 including optical detection 228, 230. This includes sensing contact pad displacement after a wafer peripheral zone contact.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/815,428 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**GWA** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600